UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	CRIMINAL NO. 04-10060-RCL
)	
GEORGE SCHUSSEL,)	
Defendant)	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE, BY MARCH 18, 2005, MEMORANDA OF LAW IN REPLY TO THE GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITIONS TO HIS PRETRIAL MOTIONS AND TO THE GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE ON CLAIM OF PRIVILEGE

Now comes the defendant George Schussel and respectfully moves that this Honorable Court grant him leave to file by March 18, 2005:

- (1) memoranda of law in reply to the government's oppositions to his pretrial motions which were filed on January 18, 2005, and
- (2) a reply to the Government's Response to Defendant's Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence On Claim of Privilege.

Defendant requests that the due date for such reply memoranda be set for March 18, 2005. As reason therefor, defendant states:

1. On October 15, 2004, defendant filed his Motion to Suppress Government's Use and Acquisition of Privileged Materials and for Other Appropriate Relief, along with a supporting memorandum of law. The government filed an Opposition thereto under seal on or about December 1, 2004. On January 14, 2005, defendant filed (under seal) his Reply to the government's Opposition. The government sought, and received, leave to file a Response to defendant's Reply, which was filed under seal on March 4, 2005. That response was 31 pages in length.

Case 1:04-cr-10060-RCL Document 43 Filed 03/08/2005 Page 2 of 2

2. The motion addressed in the preceding paragraph and the government's responses thereto

raise factually and legally complex issues relating to the attorney-client privilege and the work-

product privilege. The government's Response to the defendant's Reply raises at least one new issue

which defendant believes it necessary to address. In addition, defendant believes that permitting him

to file a reply to the government's Response would be of assistance to the Court in resolving the

complex issues before it. Defendant will limit his reply to matters raised in the government's

Response.

3. On January 18, 2005, defendant filed a number of pretrial motions, to which the

government filed its Oppositions on March 4, 2005. Defendant believes that permitting him to file

replies to the government's oppositions is necessary to complete presentation to the Court of the

issues involved and will be of assistance to the Court in resolving the issues before it.

4. AUSA Carmen Ortiz assents to the granting of this motion.

WHEREFORE defendant requests that this Honorable Court permit him to file on or before

March 18, 2005:

1. A memoranda of law in reply to the government's oppositions to his pretrial motions

which were filed on January 18, 2005, and

(2) A reply to the Government's Response to Defendant's Reply to Opposition to

Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence On Claim of Privilege.

Respectfully submitted,

By his attorneys,

/s/ Francis J. DiMento, Sr.

Frank J. DiMento, Sr. DiMento & Sullivan

7 Faneuil Marketplace Boston, MA 02109

617-523-2345

Date: March 8, 2005

/s/ Martin G. Weinberg

Martin G. Weinberg

20 Park Plaza, Suite 905

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

(617) 227-3700

BBO # 519480

2